CSC 533: Programming Languages Spring 2016

Advanced Scheme programming

- large-scale pattern matching Eliza program
- first class functions functions as inputs/outputs, lambda expressions
- lazy evaluation delay/force, lazy algorithms, lazy lists (streams)
- closures
- OOP in Scheme

Large-scale pattern matching

one of the most famous/infamous programs from early AI was Eliza

- simulated an interaction with a psychiatrist
- actually had no language understanding, just a large dataset of question patterns with corresponding responses

```
> (eliza)
Eliza>(hello there)
(how do you do. please state your problem)
Eliza>(i had a bad dream last night)
(what does this dream suggest to you)
Eliza>(that i am afraid of something)
(do you want to be afraid of something)
Eliza>(no)
(are you saying no just to be negative)
Eliza>(i hate to be afraid)
(please continue)
Eliza>(what should i do)
(what comes to your mind when you ask that)
Eliza>(my mother)
(tell me more about your family)
```

see <u>eliza.ss</u> in code directory

Merging two lists

consider the task of merging two sorted list (e.g., as part of merge sort)

• e.g., have two large lists of sorted golf scores & want to merge

```
> (define scores1 '(67 68 69 71 72 72 72 73 73 74))
> (define scores2 '(66 68 69 72 74 74 75 75 76 80 81 84))
> (merge scores1 scores2)
(66 67 68 68 69 69 71 72 72 72 72 73 73 74 74 74 75 75 76 80 81 84)
```

3

Generalizing the merge

this works fine if you have a list of numbers, but what about different types?

e.g., lists of strings

```
(define names1 '("Alex" "Betni" "Brian" "Dave" "Mark" "Tim"))
(define names2 '("Colin" "Fletcher" "Jesse" "Juan" "Justin" "Kifton"))
```

< only works on numbers, we need to use string<?</p>

we would need a different version for every type of list!

Functions as inputs

better solution - parameterize

- functions can be passed as input to other functions
- here, pass the comparison function to merge

Generalizing the merge

but what if data in the list is more complex?

here, need a function for comparing lists using the # in index 2

```
(define (compIndex2 player1 player2)
  (< (caddr player1) (caddr player2)))

>(compIndex2 '(Smith J 72) '(Woods E 84))
#t

>(merge compIndex2 map1 map2)
((Allen M 66) (Smith J 67) (Rodriguez J 68) (Walker T 68) . . . (Woods E 84))
```

Anonymous functions

defining compIndex2 seems like overkill – will it every be used again?

- Scheme allows for defining anonymous (unnamed) functions using lambda
- based on the lambda calculus of Alonzo Church

```
(lambda (in1 in2 ... inN) output)

defines an anonymous function, mapping in1, in2,...,inN to output
```

note: the old Scheme style of defining a function used lambda

Generalizing the comparison

suppose we needed to do a lot of list comparisons

the type of value and index for comparison may vary

```
(lambda (p1 p2) (< (list-ref p1 2) (list-ref p2 2)))
(lambda (p1 p2) (string<? (list-ref p1 0) (list-ref p2 0)))
```

again, we could parameterize

```
(define (compare func index p1 p2)
  (func (list-ref p1 index) (list-ref p2 index)))

> (compare < 2 '(Smith J 72) '(Woods E 84))
#t

> (compare string<? 1 '(J Smith) '(E Woods))
#t</pre>
```

Functions as outputs

unfortunately, the merge function expects a comparison function with 2 inputs

• we can get around this by having a function that returns a function

```
(define (compareGen func index)
  (define (compare v1 v2)
        (func (list-ref v1 index) (list-ref v2 index)))
  compare)

• or, equivalently
(define (compareGen func index)
  (lambda (v1 v2)
        (func (list-ref v1 index) (list-ref v2 index))))
> (compareGen < 2)
#<pre>
#
/ ((compareGen < 2) '(Smith J 72) '(Woods E 84))
#t
> (merge (compareGen < 2) map1 map2)</pre>
```

((Allen M 66) (Smith J 67) (Rodriguez J 68) (Walker T 68) . . . (Woods E 84))

Merging revisited

suppose you only need to first N items in the lists, for some small N

• e.g., only need the top 10 golf scores to award prizes

WASTEFUL – why merge the remaining scores when not needed?

Lazy evaluation

Scheme provides built-in functions for performing lazy evaluation

- delay takes a functional expression and evaluates to a promise
 a promise is an object that encapsulates the functional expression (but does not evaluate it)
- force takes a promise and executes it, evaluating to the expression value

```
> (delay (+ 3 2))
#promise:unsaved-editor696:10:2>
> (define expr (delay (+ 3 2)))
> (force expr)
```

11

Lazy merging

can modify the merge using delay to postpone merges until needed

- e.g., lazyMerging two lists returns a pair: (car. #promiseOfCdr>)
- such a structure is known as a stream, since it represents a potential stream of data

```
> (define stream (lazyMerge scores1 scores2))
(66 . #promise:...S16/Code/lazyeval.ss:22:32>)
> (car stream)
66
> (car (force (cdr stream))
67
```

Lazy merging (cont.)

need a version of head that uses force to expand each cdr promise

to get the first N values, only do N comparisons/cons operations

13

Infinite streams?

since streams don't generate the cdr until needed, can be infinite!

```
(define (arithSequence start step)
        (cons start (delay (arithSequence (+ step start) step))))
> (define ones (arithSequence 1 0))
> (lazyHead ones 5)
(1 1 1 1 1)
> (define naturals (arithSequence 1 1))
> (lazyHead naturals 10)
(1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10)
```

EXERCISE: define infinite streams of even numbers. then odd numbers.

More exercises

define a function for generating geometric sequences

then, define a stream that represents the infinite geometric sequence made up of powers of 2 (i.e., 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, ...)

```
  (define powersOf2 ( ??? ))
    (lazyHead powersOf2 11)
    (1 2 4 8 16 32 64 128 256 512 1024)
```

15

Manipulating infinite streams

a stream is an object that represents and infinite sequence

- can define operations similar to standard lists
- but, it only performs operations as needed

Combining infinite streams

you can combine infinite streams to produce new (infinite) streams

```
(define (combineStreams op stream1 stream2)
  (cons (op (car stream1) (car stream2))
        (delay (combineStreams op (force (cdr stream1)) (force (cdr stream2))))))
> (lazyHead (combineStreams + ones powersOf2) 10)
(2 3 5 9 17 33 65 129 257 513)
> (lazyHead (combineStreams * odds evens) 6)
(2 12 30 56 90 132)
(define (mergeStreams func stream1 stream2)
 (if (func (car stream1) (car stream2))
      (cons (car stream1)
           (delay (mergeStreams func (force (cdr stream1)) stream2)))
      (cons (car stream2)
           (delay (mergeStreams func stream1 (force (cdr stream2)))))))
> (lazyHead (mergeStreams < odds evens) 8)
(1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8)
                                                                                17
```

Filtering infinite streams

can even filter out elements of a stream using a filter function

```
(define (filterStream filter stream)
  (if (filter (car stream))
        (cons (car stream) (delay (filterStream filter (force (cdr stream)))))
        (filterStream filter (force (cdr stream)))))

> (lazyHead (filterStream even? naturals) 10)
(2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20)

> (lazyHead (filterStream odd? naturals) 10)
(1 3 5 7 9 11 13 15 17 19)

• can filter the stream using any function

> (define no3s (filterStream (lambda (x) (> (remainder x 3) 0)) naturals))

> (lazyHead no3s 20)
(1 2 4 5 7 8 10 11 13 14 16 17 19 20 22 23 25 26 28 29)
```

Sieve of Eratosthenes

the idea of filtering leads to an efficient algorithm for generating primes

- start with (2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ...)
- first prime number is 2 filter out all multiples of 2: (2 3 5 7 9 11 13 15 17 19 ...)
- next prime number is 3 filter out all multiples of 3: (2 3 5 7 11 13 17 19 23 25 29 ...)
- next prime number is 5 filter out all multiples of 5: (2 3 5 7 11 13 17 19 23 29 ...)
- ...

19

Static scoping

recall that Scheme is statically scoped

• this is useful for hiding helper functions

```
(define (lengthTail arblist)
  (define (lengthHelp sublist sofar)
    (if (null? sublist)
        sofar
        (lengthHelp (cdr sublist) (addl sofar))))
  (lengthHelp arblist 0))
```

can also access other variables that exist within the scope

Functions & scoping

recall the comparison generator function from earlier

- note that inner function uses func and index, parameters to outer function
- OK since compare is defined within the scope of func/index

```
(define (compareGen func index)
  (define (compare v1 v2)
     (func (list-ref v1 index) (list-ref v2 index)))
  comp)
```

- but the returned function persists after the call to compareGen ends!
- is this a dangling reference problem?
- Scheme handles this by encapsulating the function with its current scope essentially, the independent function carries its environment with it

21

Closures

a closure is a persistent scope

- here, the inner function compare is defined within the scope of index
- when the compareGen function returns the compare function itself, the scope that contains index is encapsulated and returned with it

Mystery function

what does the following function do?

```
(define (lock message password)
  (define (unlock pass)
    (if (equal? pass password)
        message
        "SORRY, IT'S PRIVATE."))
  unlock)

(define secret
    (lock "Hi there" "foobar"))

> (secret "barfoo")
"SORRY, IT'S PRIVATE."

> (secret "foobar")
"Hi there"
```

```
message = "Hi there"
password = "foobar"

(define (unlock pass)
   (if (equal? pass password)
        message
        "SORRY"))
```

23

OOP in Scheme

closures allow for data encapsulation & information hiding

- the variables defined in the closure are not accessible outside of that scope
- they are essentially private to the closure function

we can take advantage of this to do OOP in Scheme

example: bank account

data: account balance

operations: initialize with some amount

deposit some amount withdraw some amount

Naïve (imperative) solution

- use global variable to represent the balance
- initialize and update the balance using set!

```
(define balance 100)
(define (withdraw amount)
 (if (>= balance amount)
     (begin (set! balance (- balance amount)) balance)
     "Insufficient funds"))
(define (deposit amount)
 (begin (set! balance (+ balance amount)) balance))
> (withdraw 25)
➤ (deposit 50)
```

DRAWBACKS

- · no encapsulation
- · no data hiding
- · not easily extended to multiple accounts

25

OOP behavior

> (withdraw 200)

"Insufficient funds"

following OOP principles, would like the following behavior

```
creates an account called
(define savings (account 100))
                                              savings, initialized to $100
                                              updates the savings account
(savings 'deposit 50)
                                              by depositing $50
                                              updates the savings account
(savings 'withdraw 50)
                                              by withdrawing $50
```

want balance to be inaccessible except through deposit & withdraw

SOLUTION: make an account object be a *function*

- contains the balance as part of its closure
- recognizes deposit and withdraw commands as input

OOP solution

since the returned function is in the scope of the balance parameter and the other inner functions, that parameter and functions are encapsulated into the closure

(savings 'deposit 50) applies the menu function to the arguments

27

OOP analysis

this implementation provides

- encapsulation: balance & operations are grouped together
- info hiding: balance is hidden in an account object, accessible via ops

can have multiple objects - each has its own private balance

```
(define checking (account 100))
(define savings (account 500))

(checking 'withdraw 50)
(savings 'deposit 50)
```

note: this notation can become a bit awkward

- most Schemes provide an OOP library that insulates the user from details
- allows more natural definitions, inheritance, . . .

Scheme recap

simple & orthogonal

- code & data are S-expressions
- computation via function application, composition

symbolic & list-oriented

- can manipulate words, flexible & abstract data structure
- efficient (but less flexible) data structures are available
- can even represent infinite sequences

functional style is very natural

supports imperative & OOP styles if desired

first-class functions

- leads to abstract, general functions (e.g., map, apply, streams)
- code = data → flexibility

memory management is hidden

- dynamic allocation with structure sharing, garbage collection
- tail-recursion optimization is required